## SECRET

11-7501

Mr. Patrick J. Herrity

Dear Mr. Herrity:

Bergenfield, New Jersey

Thank you for your letter of 6 September 1959 concerning the situation in the Far East. I am sure that based upon your experience in Manchuria and China, you have had an opportunity to analyse these matters first hand. It is our responsibility in this organization to keep our minds open for any eventuality, and we appreciate receiving your views.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles Director

(17 September 1959) STAT Rewritten IG/LBK/drm (29 September 1959)

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

DOCUMENT NO. NO CHANGE IN CLASS, LI

☐ DECLASSIFIED CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C 2011

NEXT REVIEW DATE:

AUTH: HR 70.2 DATE: 2002 01

REVIEWER

STAT

STAT

STAT

ORIGINAL CL BY 2011 D DECL REVW ON

SECRET

## Approved For Release 2002/10/25: CIA-RDP80R01731R000200020035-4

Dergenfield, N. J.
September 6, 1959.

Executive Registry

STAT

Mr. Allen Dulles, Central Intellegence Agency, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

Americans who served the war years in China were especially angered when that country later came under communist control, causing the United States to lose prestige in world affairs. There is a great langer that our nations will be more humiliated when General Chiang Ching Kuo, commanding the Nationalist Forces, "inherits" his father's government, tells the United States to beat it and reaches his communist hand across the China Sea to say welcome to Mao Tzu Tung and Chou En Loi.

Chinese Nationalist propaganda agencies have taken special care to prevent the publication of any reference to the activities of General Chiang Ching Kuo before World War II. Your agency was not in existance when he was in Moscow and the screen set up by our "ally" "Free China" might be succeeding in preventing you from ever knowing. A couple of weekly United States magazines seem to me to be following the same cover up line. Both magazines are published by one man. Recently they published photographs of Chiang Kai Shek's granddaughter, rentioning only that her father was Chiang Ching Kuo, a Chinese general on Formosa. I have more respect for your organization than this letter indicates but kuk the situation is so important that I feel I must write you.

During the 1930s, Chiang Ching Kuo was an avowed communist living and studying in Moscow. From there he consistantly issued statements denouncing his father, Generalissimo Chiang Kai Shek, which were given world-wide circulation by Soviet press services.

When the war was over, Chiang Ching Kuo was back in China in the good graces of his father. With him were his Russian wife and their children. His father first gave him minor posts, then in 1949 placed him in charge of the enforcement of the governments economic laws. In Shanghai he put into effect the viclent drastic training he had learned from his communist teachers. He went into the courts and ordered judges to pass death sentences on prisoners being tried for buying foreign currencies.

Chinese friends have told me that Chiang Ching Kuo hates the United States. He has never repudiated communism. They believe him to be a communist; so do I.

It is understandable that a Chinese father, adhering to ancient culture and practices, will take his erring son back into the fold. But there is no reason why the United States should be endangered by him. It is my belief that Chiang Ching Kuo is with his father on assignment by his communist bosses.

There is precedent indicating that the communists in power in China assign members of the "party" to infilitrate and get control of non-communist armies and organizations. One of Chiang Kai Shek's generals was a communist, who in 1949 took his army over to the side of the Reds and made possible the swift advances of Chu Teh.

A young Chinese friend in New York told me recently that Chiang Ching Kuo prevailed upon his father to hire a house boy. The latter was the only one having access to the Generalissimo's office. Chiang Kai Shek should have been at his desk when the bomb under it exploded. He had left the office a minute earlier. The house boy proved to be a communist. The son denied knowing that he was a communist. The father slappasproved For Release 2002/10/25: CIA-RDP80R01731R000200020035-4

## Approved For Release 2002/10/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200020035-4

The clipping enclosed herewith is further evidence of the communist sop, "joining" the enemy.

Knowing that the one with an army under his control will succeed to power upon the death of his father, Chiang Ching Kuo has since going to Formosa followed a military career.

I know that your agency is capable and very likely has complete information on the subject of this letter. However, the faint possibility that you have not, has prompted me to write.

Respectfully yours

PATRICK J. HERRITY

## FORMOSA Approved For Release 2002/10/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200020035-4

Clausering into a fishing boat in Communist Amoy one December night in 1957. Chang Chun-sheng, a lieuterant coon one in the Red Chinese army, pointed Mauser at the crew and ordered them to sail him the ten choppy miles to Nationalist Quemoy. There Chang, 39, a Red soldier for 20 years, who had fought in Korea as a "volunteer" in 1951-52, got a hero's welcome befitting the highest-ranking Red officer ever to defect to the Nationalists.

The Nationalists gave Chang a special \$2,000 reward. commissioned him a full colonel in their own army, made him deputy chief of staff of an antiaircraft artillery command. After all, Chang had left behind on the mainland his parents, wife and five-year-old son, and their fate was not likely to be pleasant. Any doubts the Nationalists may have had about Chang's sincerity were easily outweighed by the propaganda value of his defection.

For more than a year all went well with

TIME, SEPTEMBER 7, 1959



Colonel Chang Caught Red-handed.

Chang in his new life. Then last February Chang failed to show up for duty after the Chinese New Year's celebrations. Last week word leaked out of Formosa that Colonel Chang had been unmasked as a double-agent passing secrets to the mainland. And after being told to kneel and

Approved From Reilease 2002/10/25ve C1ASIRDP80R01731R000200020035-4 bullet in the base of his skull—the classic punishment for traitors.

11-750//A

**STAT** 

Mr. Patrick J. Morrity

Bergenfield, New Jersey

Dear Mr. Herrity:


We appreciate your interest in the matter discussed in your letter of 6 September 1959. Please be assured that the subject is receiving serious attention.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Dulles Director

cc: DDCI

Signature Recommended:



STAT

**STAT** 

(17 September 1959)

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

2 - DCI ---

1 - DDCI

l - DDP

1 - CFE

1 - CFE/CHI

STAT

STAT

Mr. Patrick J. Horrity Bergenfield, New Jersey Dear Mr. Hearity: We appreciate your interest in the matter discussed in your letter of 6 September 1959. Piesse be assured that the information contained therein is receiving attention. Sincerely, Allen W. Dulles Director 17 Sep 59 Rewritten: O/DCI/ 22 Sep 59 Distribution: Original - Addressee 1 - DCI 1 - DDCI 1 - ER

STAT

- 1 DD/P
- 1 C/FE
- 1 CFE/CHI

(Letter as previously prepared concurred in by Mr. Bissell on 18 Sep 59.)

Approved For Release 2002/10/25 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200020035-4 DD/P 4.833

DD/P 4-8332

18 SEP 1959

| ,   |        |          |
|-----|--------|----------|
| Exe | cutive | Registry |
| 1/- | 750    | 1//      |

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

VIA:

Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT:

Letter from Mr. Patrick J. Herryty

STAT

**STAT** 

- 1. This memorandum recommends action as indicated in paragraph 3.
- 2. Attached are the subject letter and our suggested answer to it. As you know, there are many persons who fear that CHIANG Ching-kuo is a Communist. Mr. Herrity's letter gives no new evidence, however, so it is of no value as intelligence information.
- 3. It is recommended that the attached letter be signed and forwarded to Mr. Herrity.

Chief, Far East Division

2 Attachments As stated

cc: DDCI

**Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt**